

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/809,249	MCKEE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Qing-Yuan Wu	2194	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Qing-Yuan Wu.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Lawrence E. Carter.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 June 2009

**Time:** 10:30 AM EST

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 4-6, 9-12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20-22, 25-33, 35, 39, 40, 42-44

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner suggested amending various claims to addressed minor formality issues.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)